

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/824,849 | 04/02/2001 | Simon Jacobs | 500745.01 | 9964 | |
| 27076 | 7590 01/10/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SUITE 3400 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101 | | | JEANTY, | JEANTY, ROMAIN | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3623 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/824,849 | JACOBS, SIMON | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Romain Jeanty | 3623 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDON | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ja | anuany 1058 | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | |
| , | ''' | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | ed in this Hational Glage | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | * ** | ed. | | | |
| | · | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the communication received October 3, 2005. In the communication, Applicant elects Group 1, claims 1-29 and 50-58, for examination at this time, and further elects the species of claims 8-1 1 for prosecution on the merits, and claims 12-15 have been amended to change the claim dependency to claim 2.

Upon further review of the claims, the examiner notes that a further Election/Restriction is required, and the Election/Restriction is described below.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-18, 55, drawn to a data structure stored on a computer readable media for managing a complex work order, classified in class 705, subclass 8.
 - II. Claims 19-29, 50-52, and 56, drawn to a process for managing a complex work order, classified in class 705, subclass 8.
 - III. Claims 30-49, 57-58, drawn to a process for managing a complex work order, classified in class 705, subclass 9.
- IV. Claims 53-54, drawn to a system for managing distribution of a plurality of work orders to a workforce of mobile service representative, classified in class 705, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as validating whether

the proposed start time satisfies the precedence criteria prior to starting work on the member suborder. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as scheduling appointment times for starting work on each member sub-order so that the appointments times satisfy the precedence criteria for each member sub-order. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as a first and second wireless data communication devices. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (571) 272-6732. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30AM - 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R. Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,849 Page 4

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 12, 2005

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3623